



Effect of compost formulation and postharvest management on quality parameters of button mushroom

Mohammad Ebrahim Ranjbar¹ · Zahra Ghahremani¹ · Jaime Carrasco^{2,3}

Received: 11 December 2018 / Accepted: 26 September 2019 / Published online: 9 October 2019
© The Author(s) 2019

Abstract

Purpose The main objective of the present study was to evaluate the effects of compost formulation made from agricultural by-products and thermal treatment of fresh mushrooms on the postharvest quality parameters.

Methods Quail manure was evaluated as an alternative ingredient to the broadly used chicken manure for compost preparation. In addition, the treatment of fresh mushrooms by hot water to extend shelf-life was tested. Quality parameters including color (browning due to microbial activity), cap opening and the weight loss (senescence) were evaluated.

Results The nature of the manure employed as base material in the substrate can affect the postharvest browning ratio of mushroom. However, no significant differences in Δb value, weight loss or cap opening were noticed between two different substrates during storage of harvested mushrooms. The cap opening ratio was further retarded while applying thermal treatment to the mushrooms at 55 °C.

Conclusions The use of chicken manure as base material to prepare mushroom substrate reduces mushroom browning during storage compared to quail manure, although mushrooms from quail compost are whiter at picking. Postharvest treatment at 45 °C for 4 min was the best to minimize the weight loss.

Keywords Compost formulation · Mushroom substrate · Postharvest properties · Thermal treatment

Introduction

Among cultivated edible mushrooms, the champignon or button mushroom [*Agaricus bisporus* (Lange) Imbach] is one of the most widely cultivated species and consumed worldwide (Karakurt and Toka 2016; Carrasco et al. 2018). Their consumption is gradually increasing due to their appreciated flavor, the interesting nutritional value, with similar protein content to meat or eggs (Liu et al. 2015), and low calories content, which is comparable to vegetables (Wani et al. 2010). It is noteworthy that mushrooms have a shelf-life shorter than most ready-to-use vegetables due to their rapid respiratory rate and the absence of

a physical barrier to prevent water loss or microbial attacks (Mohapatra et al. 2010). One of the symptomatic evidences of button mushroom decay is cap browning, associated with the activity of the enzyme tyrosinase (enzyme related to melanin biosynthesis), which belong to the polyphenol oxidase (PPO) enzyme family (Nerya et al. 2006). Different methods to extend shelf-life and reduce the contamination levels have been reported, for instance, effective packing, irradiation of carpophores or the application of tomato juice (Rai and Arumuganathan 2008; Srivastava et al. 2010). The treatment of fresh vegetables by hot water can inhibit biochemical pathways involved in ripening and spoilage while retarding the activity of cell wall, degrading enzymes and disordering the ethylene synthesis enzymes involved in ripening and fruit decay (Paull and Chen 2000; Safdar Khan 2009). Several substrate formulations can be used to grow *Agaricus bisporus*. Cereal straws (rice, wheat, oat and barley), horse manure and chicken manure and industrial by-products such as sugarcane bagasse are the most important ingredients for substrate formulation (Andrade et al. 2008). The button mushroom is a heterotroph that obtain the nutrients required from the selective substrate where it grows;

✉ Jaime Carrasco
jaime.carrasco@plants.ox.ac.uk

¹ Horticultural Department, University of Zanjan, Zanjan, Iran

² Centro Tecnológico de Investigación del Champiñón de La Rioja (CTICH), Autol, Spain

³ Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK



therefore, substrate design and formulation is among the most relevant parameters for mushroom production because it directly exerts mushroom nutrition and ultimately conditions the mycelium growth, basidiome development and shelf-life of the mushroom in postharvest (Ranjbar and Olfati 2017; Ranjbar et al. 2017). The aim of the current study was to evaluate the effects of compost formulation, the period of storage and the treatment of fresh mushrooms by hot water on some postharvest properties such as color, loss of water content and cap opening ratio (senescence).

Materials and methods

The experimental trial was conducted in a commercial mushroom farm, at Babolsar and University of Zanjan, Iran, between March and September 2018. Two experiments were accomplished during this study to assess the postharvest properties of the button mushrooms collected: first experiment was conducted to examine the effect of different compost formulations using quail manure and chicken manure, respectively, as base ingredients; the second experiment was to evaluate the treatment of fresh mushrooms by hot water to extend shelf-life.

Compost and spawn preparation

Compost was prepared from raw materials corresponding to wheat straw, sugarcane bagasse and selected manure type. The straw was pre-wetted with water and turned diary along 8 days before forming windrows. Phase I of composting process was developed onto concrete floor provided with natural ventilation in open sides. Windrows of 20 cm in height of straw were disposed, on top of which a layer of sugarcane bagasse was applied to build into a 1.8 m height \times 1.8 m width. Nitrogen supplements such as urea and the different kind of manure were levelly added to the windrow. The mixture was diary turned and manually watered to maintain 70–75% of moisture. Up to 5 turns were undertaken for a 12-day phase I period. Subsequently, compost was transferred to perforated plastic boxes of 56.5-cm length, 46.5-cm width, and 28.5-cm height to perform phase II composting. The boxes were disposed randomly in a chamber where pasteurization (6 h at 61 ± 1 °C) and conditioning (11 days at 48 ± 1 °C) of the compost mass were carried out. Table 1 shows physicochemical characterisation of the manufactured substrate compost and Table 2 shows average nutrient compositions of chicken and quail manures.

Spawn grain was prepared from mother culture by mixing 2:1 proportion of boiled wheat grain and sawdust, amended with CaCO_3 at 0.2%, while keeping the moisture content around 65%. An amount of 250-g mixture was introduced in polypropylene bags. The bags were subsequently sterilized

Table 1 Moisture, carbon and nitrogen contents, C/N and pH of compost prepared with chicken and quail manures

	Chicken manure compost	Quail manure compost
Moisture	70.6	71.2
Carbon	40.2	41
Nitrogen	2.2	2.4
C/N	18.27	17.08
pH	6.8	6.5

Table 2 Average percentage of NPK compositions of chicken and quail manures

Manure type	Total N	Ammonium (NH-N)	Phosphorus (P)	Potassium (K)
Chicken	1.17	0.45	0.77	0.49
Quail	4.96	0.34	1.08	1.07

for 1 h at 120 °C under 1 kg/cm² pressure in an autoclave. Inoculation of the compost was manually conducted; 1.5% of *A. bisporus* spawn was added to the compost. Polyethylene boxes filled with 12–12.5 kg of compost were internally lined with polyethylene plastic film punctured in the bottom. A random design for the boxes was disposed for the incubation of the spawned compost for 13 days at 22 ± 1 °C.

Cropping and post-harvest storage

The peat-based casing soil employed was based on 80% peat + 20% perlite. 20 days before casing application, the pH was buffered to 7.0 by amending with calcium carbonate (30 kg m⁻³). The casing soil was pasteurized at 62 °C for 8 h. 4 cm of casing soil was applied onto colonized compost and watered to keep moisture content around 70%. The compost was covered with a transparent plastic, placed in darkness and incubated for 15 days at 22 ± 1 °C. After the casing soil was colonized (15 days at 22 ± 1 °C), the transparent plastics applied to keep high moisture content were removed and environmental growing parameters were set up; temperature: 22 ± 1 °C and relative humidity between 75 and 85%. The first flush was harvested and then mushrooms were graded for size uniformity, color, appearance and ripeness. Graded mushrooms were initially washed with water to remove casing soil. A thermostatic bath machine (R. Espinar.S.L. model. BAE-2) was used to perform the hot water treatment by immersion method (Fig. 1). After hot water treatment, mushrooms were allowed to dry at room temperature with the aid of fan winding and absorbent paper. Mushrooms





Fig. 1 Hot water treatment of mushrooms by thermostatic bath device

were then stored in refrigerator at 4 °C immediately after full drying and packing up in commercially used packages (PET).

Tested treatments

In the first experiment, quail manure was used as alternative to chicken manure in compost preparation. The effects of storage at three levels: after 7 days of storage (first week, treatment in the 7th day–treatment in day 0), from 7th to 14th day of storage (between first and second week, treatment in the 14th day–treatment in the 7th day) and after 14 days of storage (after the second week, treatment after the 14th day–treatment in day 0) and manure at two levels: chicken manure and quail manure were evaluated on postharvest properties of the mushrooms. Besides, the effect of manure on mushrooms color was evaluated immediately after harvest.

In the second experiment, the effect of hot water treatment on postharvest properties of button mushroom was evaluated. The treatments included: (a) hot water at four levels: 25 °C (control-room temperature), 35 °C, 45 °C and 55 °C; (b) length of hot water treatment at five levels: 0 min (control-quick dipping just for a few seconds), 2 min, 4 min, 6 min and 8 min; and (c) extension of storage at three levels: after 7 days of storage, after 14 days of storage and from 7th to 14th days of storage.

Quality assessment analysis

Weight loss expressed as the percentage of weight loss with respect to the initial weight by registering mushroom weight before and after the storage period. Weight loss (%) = $(W_0 - W_f)/W_0 \times 100$; (W_0 is weight of the mushrooms before storage, W_f is the weight registered after the storage period) (Lagnika et al. 2011).

Color To investigate the color change in harvested basidiomes, the following procedure based on three basic components, illumination source, photographic camera and computer with image software, was used: (1) illumination was provided by four fluorescent (white) lamps disposed in a dark wooden box, in angle of 45° and 45 cm above the sample (2) A color digital camera located vertically 25 cm over the sample was employed with the iris operated in manual mode, lens aperture of 4 and speed of 1/10 s (no zoom, no flash). (3) Image J software (National Institutes Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) version 1.40 g to reduce background's contrast and the conversion of RGB chromatic space into $L^*a^*b^*$ units was performed since this is a device-independent methodology that provides stable color measurements independent of the input or output. $L=0$ yields black and $L=100$ indicates white; negative a values indicate green while positive values indicate red; negative b values indicate blue and positive b values indicate yellow. The following applied to calculate ΔL value (reduction rate), Δa value (increasing rate) and Δb value (increasing rate) respectively was: x (%) = $(n_1 - n_0/n_0) \times 100$; (x is L , a or b value reduction–increasing rate respectively, n_1 is the initial L , a or b value of the mushrooms, n_0 is the final L , a or b value of the mushrooms after storage respectively) (Mohebbi et al. 2012).

Cap opening Percentage of open cap mushrooms was calculated as: Open caps (%) = $(N_{oc} \times 100)/N_t$; (N_t is the total number of mushrooms, N_{oc} is the number of open cap mushrooms) (Jiang et al. 2011).

Experimental design and data analysis

A factorial experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design with six treatments and three replicates for the first experiment. The second experiment comprised 60 treatments replicated 3 times. The results were analyzed using the Minitab 16 software and means were compared using Tukey's test (HSD) ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 3 Effect of manure employed in compost preparation on color parameters of button mushroom immediately after harvest

Manure	<i>L</i> value after harvest	<i>a</i> value after harvest	<i>b</i> value after harvest
Chicken	66.50b*	−7.73a	1.01a
Quail	70.53a	−8.73a	1.51a

*Values in columns for same variable followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey’s (HSD) test ($P \leq 0.01$)

Results and discussion

First experiment

The measured properties were conditioned by the duration of storage (Table 4). The nature of the manure used in the substrate affected mushroom color change (ΔL value and Δa value) (Table 4). Harvested mushrooms from quail manure compost showed higher *L* value (70.53) compared to chicken manure (66.50) compost in day 0 (immediately after harvest) (Table 3), but the browning ratio in mushrooms cultivated with compost based on chicken manure was lower than those cultivated with quail manure during storage period (Table 5) (chicken manure showed lower *L* value reduction and Δa compared to quail manure. According to Gupta and Bhat (2016), the browning index of mushrooms supposes a change in color of mushrooms, influenced by

the *L* value decrease with *a* and *b* value increase, which ends up into a raise of the browning index. Our findings are consistent with the literature; the chemical, functional and sensorial characteristics of mushrooms have been reported to be influenced by the nature of the substrates employed in mushroom cultivation (Oyetayo and Ariyo 2013). The substrate has a direct influence on mineral compositions of the carpophores, because the fungal mycelium is in contact with the compost as nutrient source from which it withdraws essential elements. The protein and other nutrient contents of basidiomes cultivated on different agrowastes show significant variations. For instance, nitrogen is essential for synthesis of protein in mushroom fruiting bodies, and the amount of nitrogen in the substrate can directly affect the amount of protein in the fruiting bodies (Ranjbar and Olfati 2017; Ranjbar et al. 2017). Since proteins are very sensitive to oxidative modifications, different protein levels in the mushrooms are subjected to a number of reactions related to the environmental factors during postharvest (Oyetayo and Ariyo 2013). In this study, we can correlate the different *L* value observed in harvested mushrooms from different substrates with the amount of nitrogen measured in the substrates (1.17% of total *N* and 0.45% ammonium *N* in chicken manure against 4.96% of total *N* and 0.35% ammonium *N* in quail manure) (Table 2).

A value increased 15.4 times after 14 days of storage compared to 7 days of storage (Table 5). The percentage of weight loss of mushrooms was affected by the duration of

Table 4 Effect of manure type, duration of storage and their interaction on measured characteristics in the color of ΔL , reduction rate value, Δa , increasing rate value, and Δb , increasing rate value

Source	<i>df</i>	Mean Square				
		ΔL	Δa	Δb	Weight loss	Cap opening
Ds	2	192.93	10,468.3	3822	398.87	256.22
<i>M</i>	1	107.36	909.88	2.39	0.06	2.05
Ds × <i>M</i>	2	14.63	164.76	394.34	0.22	0.28
Error	10	7.10	81.00	200.6	0.26	4.16
CV (%)		1.71	9.22	8.61	6.2	6.8

Ds duration of storage, *M* manure

Table 5 Effect of the manure formulation employed and the period of storage on postharvest quality (color change, weight loss and cap opening

Variable	ΔL value (%)	Δa value (%)	Δb value (%)	Weight loss (%)	Cap opening (%)
Manure					
Quail	14.74a	60.44a	58.49a	8.02a	37.65a
Chicken	9.87b	46.22b	57.93a	7.91a	37.05a
Duration of storage					
After 7 days	7.33b	5.19b	44.13b	7.60b	37b
After 14 days	18.48a	80.00a	87.43a	16.29a	64.75a
From 7 to 14 days	11.13b	74.80a	43.31b	8.69 b	27.75 b

*Values in columns for same variable followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey’s (HSD) test ($P \leq 0.01$)

storage (Table 4). According to Nones and Emond (2007), even when weight loss appears during storage regardless of the type of fruit or vegetable, the rate of water loss is highly dependent on the type of crop type and is greatly related to the morphology and physiology of the fruit or vegetable under analysis (Nones and Emond 2007). Weight loss of mushrooms raised by increasing the time of storage, and weight loss of mushrooms increased by 53.34% after 14 days of storage compared to the 7th day of storage. Cap opening rate increased by 42.86% at 14th day of storage compared to 7th day of storage (Table 5).

Second experiment

L value reduction rate

The largest reduction rate of *L* value after 7 days of storage was recorded at hot water treatment $T=55\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 8 min (16.10%). The best treatment to minimize *L* value reduction after 14 days of storage was $T=45\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 4 min (0.46%) (Table 7). Our findings with respect to the effect of hot water treatment on mushroom discoloration are in line with those reported by Das et al. (2010) and Rajarathnam et al. (1983). Rajarathnam et al. (1983) observed an increase in activities of *o*-diphenol oxidase, proteases and free amino acids, and a fall in total phenols, which might have resulted in the increasing of mushroom discoloration along the period of storage. On the other hand, Das et al. (2010) reported that gradual change in color of mushroom from white to black occurs with the duration of storage and the treatment with hot water, by disordering of the ethylene synthesis enzymes, can inhibit some biochemical pathways involved in discoloration of mushrooms. Besides, in a similar research, heat treatments have been shown to prevent the wound-induced synthesis of phenols by inhibiting phenylalanine ammonia lyase enzyme (PAL) activity and, thus, reducing browning development in fresh-cut vegetables such as celery (Viña

and Chaves 2008) and lettuce (Loaiza-Velarde and Saltveit 2001).

Δb value (increasing rate)

Hot water treatment showed significant variation in the Δb color value during storage (Table 6). According to the results, the highest Δb was obtained in hot water treatment at $45\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 4 min after 14 days of storage (126.87%) (Table 7).

a value increasing rate and percentage of cap opening and weight loss

The highest Δa value was recorded in hot water treatment at $25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (control) after 14 days of storage (712.48%) (Table 8). The best treatment to minimize weight loss was for 4 min (7.23%) and on the other hand, the best temperature of treatment to reduce weight loss in basidiomes was $45\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (5.82%) (Table 9). The result of this study is similar to the findings reported by Fan et al. (2008). They reported that hot water treatment of blueberries resulted in minor weight loss during the 4-week storage period, regardless of treatment duration, than in control fruit. The water uptake throughout the treatment has been associated with this effect (Fan et al. 2008). As reported by Lum and Norazira (2011), submerging fruit and vegetable would facilitate the water to enter the fruit cells. Thus, the fruit weight would increase and the fruit weight loss percentage would decrease during storage (Lum and Norazira 2011). According to our results, the best temperature of treatment to reduce open caps was $55\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (20.1%) after 7 and 14 days of storage (Table 10). Cap opening, associated with mushroom senescence, deeply affects the market acceptability since this biological feature damages the product during postharvest (Rai and Arumuganathan 2008). Both aging and the loss of water content are directly correlated with the percentage of open caps (Jiang et al. 2011).

Table 6 Effect of postharvest treatment (period of storage, temperature, duration of hot water treatment and the interactions on measured characteristics) on the measured parameters (color variation, weight loss and cap opening ratio)

Source	df	Mean square				
		ΔL value (%)	Δa value (%)	Δb value (%)	Weight loss (%)	Cap opening (%)
Ds	2	1753.8**	4.19ns	1417.52**	9.02ns	0.11ns
T	3	1006.20**	12.42ns	247.74**	209.65**	0.35ns
Dt	4	61.06**	33.72**	17.05**	69.81**	1.05**
Ds \times T	6	152.49**	26.49**	116.23**	7.02ns	1.18**
Ds \times Dt	8	59.01**	4.15ns	7.71*	12.78ns	0.27ns
T \times Dt	12	95.53**	6.02ns	11.17**	12.73ns	0.30ns
Ds \times T \times Dt	24	58.45**	5.61ns	8.70**	6.72ns	0.10ns
Error	118	15.59	7.89	4.11	14.65	0.16
(%) CV		6.16	8.42	8.32	12.02	6.1

Ds duration of storage, T temperature, Dt duration of treatment

ns, **, * no significant or significant at $P \leq 0.01$ and $P \leq 0.05$, respectively

Table 7 Effect of interaction between duration of storage, temperature and duration of hot water treatment on ΔL , reduction rate value and Δb , increasing rate value

T ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Dt (min)	ΔL value (%)			T ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Dt (min)	Δb value (%)		
		After 7 days	After 14 days	From 7 to 14 days			After 7 days	After 14 days	7–14 days
25	0	3.11j*	6.93p	3.82ij	25	0	8.25p	25.88m	17.63i
	2	2.83k	6.04r	3.21jk		2	6.56q	30.71l	24.15h
	4	3.88f	7.78n	3.90ij		4	9.90o	29.74l	19.84i
	6	5.91e	9.14l	3.21jk		6	21.41l	35.27k	13.86j
	8	3.81g	8.73m	4.92h		8	18.25m	20.63n	2.38m
35	0	1.26n	12.35i	11.09e	35	0	53.79f	61.16i	7.37l
	2	0.46pq	10.16k	9.64f		2	82.70b	110.78c	28.08g
	4	6.35d	10.60j	4.25hi		4	91.42a	99.47d	8.05kl
	6	1.78l	26.44d	24.66b		6	67.00d	97.46de	30.46fg
	8	0.92o	26.94b	26.02a		8	51.31g	97.00e	45.69cd
45	0	3.65h	6.72q	2.62k	45	0	8.23p	26.64m	18.41i
	2	0.51p	16.24g	15.73d		2	22.33k	75.00h	52.67b
	4	0.44q	0.46s	0.02l		4	75.18c	126.87a	51.69b
	6	0.45pq	6.94p	6.49g		6	15.02n	120.03b	105.01a
	8	0.46pq	24.76e	24.30b		8	50.74g	96.90e	46.16c
55	0	3.41i	7.38o	3.97ij	55	0	35.44i	46.49j	11.05jk
	2	12.62b	17.04f	4.42hi		2	60.06e	93.00f	32.94f
	4	1.66m	12.78h	11.12e		4	21.41l	27.24m	5.83l
	6	9.68c	26.52c	16.84c		6	34.59j	72.93h	38.34e
	8	16.10a	30.79a	14.69d		8	36.23h	79.23g	43.00d

T temperature of treatment, Dt duration of treatment

*Values in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different, $P \leq 0.01$, Tukey's (HSD) test

Table 8 Effect of duration of treatment (Dt , min) and interaction between duration of storage (days) and temperature of treatment (T , $^{\circ}\text{C}$) on a value increasing rate

T ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Storage (day)			Dt (min)	Δa (%)
	After 7 days	After 14 days	From 7 to 14 days		
25	73.89efg*	712.48a	638.58ab	0	221c
35	11.93g	389.55cd	377.71cd	2	219.06c
45	5.17g	525.64abc	468.26bc	4	568.43a
55	31.25fg	240.64de	209.39def	6	341.66b
				8	216.66c

T temperature of treatment

*Values in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different, $P \leq 0.01$, Tukey's (HSD) test

Table 9 Effect of temperature of treatment (T , $^{\circ}\text{C}$) and duration of treatment (Dt , min) on weight loss percent

T ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Weight loss percent (%)	Dt (min)	Weight loss (%)
25	10a*	0	9.90a
35	10.38a	2	7.50b
45	5.82b	4	7.23b
55	6.25b	6	8.03b
		8	10.10a

T temperature of treatment, Dt duration of treatment

*Values in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different, $P \leq 0.01$, Tukey's (HSD) test

Conclusion

Harvested mushrooms from quail manure compost were whiter (showed higher L value) compared to chicken manure compost immediately after harvest. However, those picked from chicken manure compost preserved whiteness for longer storage time than the ones cultivated on quail manure compost. The best hot water treatment to prevent weight loss of mushrooms was for 4 min at 45 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, while the treatment of 4–6 min at 55 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ was the most effective to retard cap opening, and therefore mushroom decay during storage.



Table 10 Effect of duration of treatment (Dt, min) and interaction between duration of storage (days) and temperature of treatment (T, °C) cap opening percent (%)

T (°C)	Storage (day)		Dt (min)	Cap opening percent (%)	
	After 7 days	After 14 days			
25	65.5a*	65.5a	0f	0	38.47a
35	37.77b	38.88b	1.11f	2	37.77a
45	32.22d	34.92c	2.7f	4	23.81c
55	20.1e	20.1e	0f	6	23.81c
				8	26.92b

T temperature of treatment, Dt duration of treatment

*Values in columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different, $P \leq 0.01$, Tukey's (HSD) test

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Andrade M, Zied D, Marli Minihoni T, Filho J (2008) Yield of four *Agaricus bisporus* strains in three compost formulations and chemical composition analyses of the mushrooms. *Braz J Microbiol* 39:593–598. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822008000300034>
- Carrasco J, Zied D, Pardo J, Preston G, Pardo-Giménez A (2018) Supplementation in mushroom crops and its impact on yield and quality. *AMB Express* 8:146. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-018-0678-0>
- Das PK, Hassan MK, Akhther N (2010) Efficacy of washing and post-harvest treatments on shelf life and quality of oyster mushroom. *Prog Agric* 21(1 & 2):21–29. <https://doi.org/10.3329/pa.v21i1-2.16745>
- Fan L, Forney CF, Song J, Doucette D, Jordan MA, Mcrae KB, Walker BA (2008) Effect of hot water treatments on quality of highbush blueberries. *J Food Sci* 73(6):292–297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2008.00838.x>
- Gupta P, Bhat A (2016) Efficacy of different washing treatments on quality of button mushrooms (*A. bisporus*). *J Food Process Technol* 7(6):590 (ISSN : 2157-7110)
- Jiang T, Zheng X, Li J, Jing G, Cai L, Ying T (2011) Integrated application of nitric oxide and modified atmosphere packaging to improve quality of retention of button mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*). *Food Chem* 126:1693–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.12.060>
- Karakurt Y, Toka D (2016) The influence of hot water and calcium chloride on the changes in cell wall composition and activities of cell wall hydrolases during storage in *Agaricus bisporus*. *J Food Biochem* 40(2):220–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.12.060>
- Lagnika C, Zhang M, Wang S (2011) Effect of high argon pressure and modified atmosphere packaging on the white mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) physico-chemical and microbiological properties. *J Food Nutr Res* 50:167–176
- Liu B, Huang Q, Cai H, Guo X, Wang T, Gui M (2015) Study of heavy metal concentrations in wild edible mushrooms in Yunnan Province, China. *Food Chem* 188:294–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.05.010>
- Loaiza-Velarde JG, Saltveit ME (2001) Heat shocks applied either before or after wounding reduce browning of lettuce leaf tissue. *J Am Soc Hortic Sci* 126:227–234. <https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.126.2.227>
- Lum MS, Norazira MA (2011) Effects of hot water, submergence time and storage duration on quality of dragon fruit (*Hylocereus polyrhizus*). *J Agric Sci* 3(1):146–152 (E-ISSN 1916-9760)
- Mohapatra D, Bira ZM, Kerry JP, Frias JM, Rodrigues FA (2010) Postharvest hardness and color evolution of white button mushrooms. *J Food Sci* 75(3):146–152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1/j.1750-3841.2010.01518.x>
- Mohebbi M, Ansarifard E, Hasanpour N, Amirouyefi MR (2012) Suitability of Aloe Vera and Gum Tragacanth as edible coatings for extending the shelf life of button mushroom. *Food Bioprocess Technol* 5:3193–3202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-011-0709-1>
- Nerya O, Ben-Arie R, Luzzatto T, Musa R, Khativ S, Vaya J (2006) Prevention of *Agaricus bisporus* postharvest browning with tyrosinase inhibitors. *Postharvest Biol Technol* 39(3):272–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2005.11.001>
- Nones C, Emond JP (2007) Relation between weight loss and visual quality of fruits and vegetables. *Proc Fla State Hortic Soc* 120:235–245 (ISSN 0886-7283)
- Oyetayo VO, Ariyo OO (2013) Antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of *Pleurotus ostreatus* cultivated on different tropical woody substrates. *J Waste Convers Bioprod Biotechnol* 1(2):28–32
- Paull RE, Chen NJ (2000) Heat treatment and fruit ripening. *Postharvest Biol Technol* 21:21–37. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-5214\(00\)00162-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-5214(00)00162-9)
- Rai RD, Arumuganathan T (2008) Post-harvest technology of mushrooms. Yugantar Prakashan Pvt, New Delhi
- Rajaratnam S, Bano Z, Patwardhan MV (1983) Post-harvest physiology and storage of the white Oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus flavelatus*). *J Food Sci Technol* 18(2):153–162. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1983.tb00255.x>
- Ranjbar ME, Olfati JA (2017) Evaluation of substrate components on shiitake mushroom properties. *Int J Veg Sci* 23:145–150
- Ranjbar ME, Olfati JA, Amani M (2017) Influence of enriched soaking water on shiitake (*Lentinus edodes* (Berk.) Singer) mushroom yield and properties. *Acta Agric Slov* 1093(5):555–560
- Safdar Khan M (2009) Effect of post-harvest hot water and hot air treatments on quality and shelf life of tomato. Dissertation, University of Reading, UK
- Srivastava A, Singh A, Raja R, Arunachalam KD (2010) Shelf-life extension of fresh mushrooms by application of Tomato. *J Eng Sci Technol* 2(5):783–786
- Viña SZ, Chaves AR (2008) Effect of heat treatment and refrigerated storage on antioxidant properties of pre-cut celery (*Apium graveolens* L.). *Int J Food Sci Technol* 43:44–51. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2006.01380.x>
- Wani BA, Bodha RH, Wani AH (2010) Nutritional and medicinal importance of mushrooms. *J Med Plant Res* 4:2598–2604. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JMPR09.565>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

