

## On a generalization of central Armendariz rings

M. Sanaei<sup>a</sup>, Sh. Sahebi<sup>a,\*</sup>, H. H. S. Javadi<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, 13185/768, Iran.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran.

Received 1 September 2018; Revised 18 November 2018; Accepted 30 November 2018.

Communicated by Hamidreza Rahimi

---

**Abstract.** In this paper, some properties of  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz and central Armendariz rings have been studied by variety of others. We generalize the notions to central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings and investigate their properties. Also, we show that if  $\alpha(e) = e$  for each idempotent  $e^2 = e \in R$  and  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, then  $R$  is abelian. Moreover, if  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, then  $R$  is right p.p-ring if and only if  $R[x; \alpha]$  is right p.p-ring. Then it is proved that if  $\alpha^t = I_R$  for some positive integer  $t$ ,  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz if and only if the polynomial ring  $R[x]$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz if and only if the Laurent polynomial ring  $R[x, x^{-1}]$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz.

© 2019 IAUCTB. All rights reserved.

---

**Keywords:**  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings, central Armendariz rings, central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings.

**2010 AMS Subject Classification:** 16U20, 16S36.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this article,  $R$  is an associative ring with identity. The center of a ring  $R$  and the set of all the units in  $R$  are denoted by  $C(R)$  and  $U(R)$ , respectively. In 1997, Rege and Chhawchharia [10] introduced the notion of an Armendariz ring. They called a ring  $R$  an Armendariz ring if whenever nonzero polynomials  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$  and  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m \in R[x]$  satisfy  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ , then  $a_ib_j = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . The name “Armendariz ring” is chosen because Armendariz [3, Lemma 1] has been shown that

---

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mah.sanaei.sci@iauctb.ac.ir (M. Sanaei); sahebi@iauctb.ac.ir (Sh. Sahebi); h.s.javadi@shahed.ac.ir (H. H. S. Javadi).

reduced ring (that is, a ring without nonzero nilpotent) satisfies this condition. A number of properties of the Armendariz rings have been studied in [2–4, 8–10]. So far, Armendariz rings are generalized in several forms. Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$ . In 2003, Hong et al. [7] introduced a possible generalization of the Armendariz rings. A ring  $R$  is called  $\alpha$ -Armendariz if for any  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j$  in  $R[x; \alpha]$ ,  $f(x)g(x) = 0$  implies that  $a_i b_j = 0$  for all  $i, j$ . According to [6], a ring  $R$  is called  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz if  $f(x)g(x) = 0$  for  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in R[x; \alpha]$ , then  $a_i \alpha^i(b_j) = 0$  for all  $i, j$ . They showed that if a ring  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -rigid (that is, if  $a\alpha(a) = 0$  then  $a = 0$  for  $a \in R$ ), then  $R[x]/\langle x^2 \rangle$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz. They also showed that if  $\alpha^t = I_R$  for some positive integer  $t$ , then  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz if and only if  $R[x]$  is  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. Agayev et al. [1] called a ring  $R$  central Armendariz if whenever polynomials  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$  and  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_m x^m \in R[x]$  satisfy  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ , then  $a_i b_j \in C(R)$  for all  $i, j$ . They showed that the class of central Armendariz rings lies precisely between classes of Armendariz rings and abelian rings (that is, its idempotents belong to  $C(R)$ ). For a ring  $R$ , they proved that  $R$  is central Armendariz if and only if  $R[x]$  is central Armendariz if and only if  $R[x, x^{-1}]$  is central Armendariz, where  $R[x]$  is the polynomial ring and  $R[x, x^{-1}]$  is the Laurent polynomial ring over a ring  $R$ . Furthermore, they showed that if  $R$  is reduced, then  $R[x]/\langle x^n \rangle$  is central Armendariz and the converse holds if  $R$  is semiprime, where  $\langle x^n \rangle$  is the ideal generated by  $x^n$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Motivated by the above results, for an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of a ring  $R$ , we investigate a generalization of the  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings and the central Armendariz rings.

## 2. Central $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings

In this section, the central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings are introduced as a generalization of the  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$ . The ring  $R$  is called a central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring if for any nonzero polynomial  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in R[x; \alpha]$ ,  $f(x)g(x) = 0$  implies that  $a_i \alpha^i(b_j) \in C(R)$  for each  $i, j$ .

Note that all commutative rings,  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings and the subrings of central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings are central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. Also, since each reduced ring  $R$  is  $I_R$ -skew Armendariz, where  $I_R$  is an identity map, then each reduced ring is central  $I_R$ -skew Armendariz ring.

The following examples show that the central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings are not necessary  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz.

**Example 2.2** (1) Let  $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ , where  $R_i$  is a commutative ring for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be an automorphism defined by  $\alpha((a, b)) = (b, a)$ , then for  $f(x) = (1, 0) - (1, 0)x$  and  $g(x) = (0, 1) + (1, 0)x$  in  $R[x; \alpha]$ ,  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ , but  $(1, 0)\alpha((0, 1)) = (1, 0)^2 \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $R$  is not  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. But  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, since  $R$  is commutative.

(2) Let  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  be the ring of integers modulo 4. Consider a ring  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \mid \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$  and  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be an endomorphism defined by  $\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & -\bar{b} \\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$ . The ring  $R$  is not  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. In fact  $\left(\begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & \bar{1} \\ 0 & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} x\right)^2 = 0 \in R[x; \alpha]$  but  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & \bar{1} \\ 0 & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} \bar{2} & \bar{0} \\ 0 & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{0} & \bar{2} \\ 0 & \bar{0} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ . But it can be easily checked that  $R$  is commutative and so, it is central  $\alpha$ -skew

Armendariz ring.

Let  $R_i$  be a ring and  $\alpha_i$  an endomorphism of  $R_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then for endomorphism  $\bar{\alpha} : \prod_{i \in I} R_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} R_i$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}(a_i)_{i \in I} = (\alpha_i(a_i))_{i \in I}$ ,  $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz if and only if each  $R_i$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz.

**Proposition 2.3** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$ ,  $S$  be a ring and  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  be an isomorphism. Then  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz if and only if  $S$  is central  $\varphi\alpha\varphi^{-1}$ -skew Armendariz.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha' = \varphi\alpha\varphi^{-1}$ . Clearly,  $\alpha'$  is an endomorphism of  $S$ . Suppose that  $a' = \varphi(a)$  for  $a \in R$ . Note that  $\varphi(a\alpha^i(b)) = a'\varphi(\alpha^i(b))$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . Also,  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j$  are nonzero in  $R[x; \alpha]$  if and only if  $f'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a'_i x^i$  and  $g'(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b'_j x^j$  are nonzero in  $S[x; \alpha']$ . On the other hand,  $f(x)g(x) = 0$  in  $R[x; \alpha]$  if and only if  $f'(x)g'(x) = 0$  in  $S[x; \alpha']$ . Also, since  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism,  $a'_i(\varphi\alpha\varphi^{-1})^i b'_j = a'_i \varphi \alpha^i \varphi^{-1}(b'_j) = \varphi(a_i) \varphi \alpha^i(b_j) = \varphi(a_i \alpha^i(b_j)) \in C(S)$  if and only if  $a_i \alpha^i(b_j) \in C(R)$ . Thus,  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz if and only if  $S$  is central  $\varphi\alpha\varphi^{-1}$ -skew Armendariz. ■

The following example shows that there exists a central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring such that  $\alpha(e) \neq e$  for some  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

**Example 2.4** Let  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \right\}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is the ring of integers modulo 2. Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be defined by  $\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $R$  is a commutative ring and so it is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. But  $\alpha(e) \neq e$  for  $e = \begin{pmatrix} (1,0) & (0,0) \\ (0,0) & (0,1) \end{pmatrix}$ .

Recall that a ring  $R$  is said to be abelian if every idempotent of  $R$  is central.

**Proposition 2.5** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism with  $\alpha(e) = e$  for any  $e^2 = e \in R$ . Then  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring if and only if  $R$  is abelian and  $eR$  and  $(1 - e)R$  are central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz for some  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

**Proof.** If  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, then  $eR$  and  $(1 - e)R$  are central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz since they are the invariant subrings of  $R$ . Now, let  $e$  be an idempotent in  $R$ . Consider  $f(x) = e - er(1 - e)x$  and  $g(x) = (1 - e) + er(1 - e)x$ . Since  $\alpha(e) = e$ , we have  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ . By hypothesis,  $er(1 - e)$  is central and so,  $er(1 - e) = 0$ . Hence,  $er = ere$  for each  $r \in R$ . Similarly, consider  $p(x) = (1 - e) - (1 - e)rex$  and  $q(x) = e + (1 - e)rex$  in  $R[x; \alpha]$  for all  $r \in R$ . Then  $p(x)q(x) = 0$ . As before  $(1 - e)re = 0$  and  $ere = re$  for all  $r \in R$ , it follows that  $e$  is central element of  $R$ ; that is,  $R$  is abelian. Conversely, let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j$  be nonzero polynomials in  $R[x; \alpha]$  such that  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ . Let  $f_1(x) = ef(x)$ ,  $g_1(x) = eg(x)$ ,  $f_2(x) = (1 - e)f(x)$ ,  $g_2(x) = (1 - e)g(x)$ . Then  $f_1(x)g_1(x) = 0$  in  $(eR)[x; \alpha]$  and  $f_2(x)g_2(x) = 0$  in  $((1 - e)R)[x; \alpha]$ . Since  $eR$  and  $(1 - e)R$  are central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz,  $ea_i\alpha^i(b_j)$  is central in  $eR$  and  $(1 - e)a_i(1 - e)\alpha^i(b_j)$  is central in  $(1 - e)R$ . Since  $e$  and  $1 - e$  are central in  $R$ ,  $R = eR \oplus (1 - e)R$  and so,  $a_i\alpha^i(b_j) = ea_i\alpha^i(b_j) + (1 - e)a_i\alpha^i(b_j)$  is central in  $R$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Therefore,  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. ■

**Corollary 2.6** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$  with  $\alpha(e) = e$  for any  $e^2 = e \in R$ . If  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring then  $R$  is abelian.

**Remark 1** [1, Example 2.2] shows that abelian rings need not to be central Armendariz in general. Clearly, for any ring  $R$  and endomorphism  $\alpha = I_R$ , the abelian rings in general are not central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz.

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $R$  be a central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring and  $e$  be an idempotent element in  $R[x; \alpha]$ . If  $e = e_0 + e_1x + \cdots + e_nx^n$ , then  $e_i \in C(R)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha(e) = e$ , then  $e = e_0$ .

**Proof.** Since  $e(1 - e) = 0 = (1 - e)e$ , we have  $(e_0 + e_1x + \cdots + e_nx^n)(1 - e_0 + e_1x + \cdots + e_nx^n) = 0$  and  $(1 - e_0 + e_1x + \cdots + e_nx^n)(e_0 + e_1x + \cdots + e_nx^n) = 0$ . Since  $R$  is a central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring,  $e_0e_i \in C(R)$  and  $(1 - e_0)e_i \in C(R)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus,  $e_i \in C(R)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now, let  $R$  is a central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring and  $\alpha(e) = e$ . It follows from Proposition 2.5 that  $R$  is abelian. The rest follows from Theorem 2.9 in [5].  $\blacksquare$

**Proposition 2.8** Let  $R$  be a central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring. Then  $R[x; \alpha]$  is abelian if and only if  $\alpha(e) = e$  for any  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $R[x; \alpha]$  is abelian and  $e^2 = e \in R[x; \alpha]$ . Then  $e$  is central and so,  $ex = xe = \alpha(e)x$ . Thus,  $\alpha(e) = e$ . Conversely, let  $\alpha(e) = e$  for any  $e^2 = e \in R$ . Since  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz by Proposition 2.5,  $R$  is abelian. Now, let  $e^2 = e \in R[x; \alpha]$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $e$  is an idempotent in  $R$ . For any  $p = a_0x^k + a_1x^{k+1} + \cdots + a_mx^{k+m} \in R[x; \alpha]$ , where  $k$  and  $m$  are nonnegative integers, we have  $pe = (a_0x^k + a_1x^{k+1} + \cdots + a_mx^{k+m})e = a_0\alpha^k(e)x^k + a_1\alpha^{k+1}(e)x^{k+1} + \cdots + a_m\alpha^{k+m}(e)x^{k+m} = e(a_0x^k + a_1x^{k+1} + \cdots + a_mx^{k+m}) = ep$ . since  $R$  is abelian and  $\alpha(e) = e$ ,  $R[x; \alpha]$  is abelian.  $\blacksquare$

For a nonempty subset  $X$  of a ring  $R$ , we write  $r_R(X) = \{r \in R \mid xr = 0 \text{ for any } x \in X\}$ , which is called right annihilator of  $X$  in  $R$ . Kaplansky [1] introduced Baer rings as rings in which the right annihilator of every nonempty subset is generated by an idempotent. As a generalization of Baer rings, a ring  $R$  is called a right (resp., left)  $p$ -ring if the right (resp., left) annihilator of an element of  $R$  is generated (as a right (resp., left) ideal) by an idempotent of  $R$ .

**Theorem 2.9** For an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of a ring  $R$ , if the ring  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, then  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. The converse hold if  $R$  is right p.p-ring and  $\alpha(e) = e$  for any  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

**Proof.** Clearly,  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings are central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. For converse, suppose  $R$  is a central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz and right p.p-ring. Then by Proposition 2.5,  $R$  is abelian. Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j$ ,  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ . We have

$$a_0 b_0 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$a_0 b_1 + a_1 \alpha(b_0) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$a_0 b_2 + a_1 \alpha(b_1) + a_2 \alpha^2(b_0) = 0 \quad (3)$$

By hypothesis there exists idempotent  $e_i \in R$  such that  $r(a_i) = e_i R$ , for all  $i$ . Therefore  $b_0 = e_0 b_0$  and  $a_0 e_0 = 0$ . Multiplying (2) by  $e_0$  from the right, then  $0 = a_0 b_1 e_0 + a_1 \alpha(b_0) e_0 = a_0 e_0 b_1 + a_1 \alpha(b_0) e_0 = a_1 \alpha(b_0) e_0$ . Hence,  $a_1 \alpha(b_0) = a_1 \alpha(b_0 e_0) = 0$ . By (2),  $a_0 b_1 = 0$  and so,  $b_1 = e_0 b_1$ . Again multiplying (3) from the right by  $e_0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_0 b_2 e_0 + a_1 \alpha(b_1) e_0 + a_2 \alpha^2(b_0) e_0 \\ &= a_0 b_2 e_0 + a_1 \alpha(b_1) \alpha(e_0) + a_2 \alpha^2(b_0) \alpha^2(e_0) \\ &= a_0 e_0 b_2 + a_1 \alpha(e_0 b_1) + a_2 \alpha^2(e_0 b_0) \\ &= a_1 \alpha(b_1) + a_2 \alpha^2(b_0) \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying this equation from the right by  $e_1$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_1\alpha(b_1)e_1 + a_2\alpha^2(b_0)e_1 \\ &= a_1e_1\alpha(b_1) + a_2\alpha^2(b_0)e_1 \\ &= a_2\alpha(\alpha(b_0))\alpha(e_1) \\ &= a_2\alpha(\alpha(b_0)e_1) \\ &= a_2\alpha(\alpha(b_0)) \\ &= a_2\alpha^2(b_0). \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we have  $a_i\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $0 \leq j \leq m$ . Thus,  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. This completes the proof. ■

In [1, Example 2.3], it is shown that the hypothesis that  $R$  be right p.p-ring is essential in Theorem 2.9 for the endomorphism  $\alpha = I_R$ .

**Corollary 2.10** Assume that  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of a ring  $R$  with  $\alpha(e) = e$  for any  $e^2 = e \in R$ . If  $R$  is a central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring, then  $R$  is right p.p-ring if and only if  $R[x; \alpha]$  is right p.p-ring.

**Proof.** Let  $R$  be right p.p-ring. By Theorem 2.9,  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. So the proof is done by [6, Theorem 22]. Conversely, assume that  $R[x; \alpha]$  is a right p.p-ring. Let  $a \in R$ . By Lemma 2.7, there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $r_{R[x; \alpha]}(a) = eR[x; \alpha]$ . Hence,  $r_R(a) = eR$ . Therefore  $R$  is a p.p-ring. ■

Recall that if  $\alpha$  is an endomorphism of a ring  $R$ , then the map  $\bar{\alpha} : R[x] \rightarrow R[x]$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}(\sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i) = \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha(a_i) x^i$  is an endomorphism of the polynomial ring  $R[x]$  and clearly this map extends  $\alpha$ . We shall also denote the extended map  $R[x] \rightarrow R[x]$  by  $\alpha$  and the image of  $f \in R[x]$  by  $\alpha(f)$ . Note that by [6, Theorem 6], a ring  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz if and only if  $R[x]$  is  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz for an endomorphism  $\alpha$  with  $\alpha^t = I_R$  for some positive integer  $t$ . Similarly, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.11** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$  and  $\alpha^t = I_R$  for some positive integer  $t$ . Then  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz if and only if  $R[x]$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz.

**Proof.** Assume that  $R[x]$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. Then  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz as a subring of  $R[x]$ . Conversely, assume that  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. Suppose that  $p(y) = f_0 + f_1y + \dots + f_my^m$ ;  $q(y) = g_0 + g_1y + \dots + g_ny^n$  in  $R[x][y; \alpha] - \{0\}$  and  $p(y)q(y) = 0$ . Also, let  $f_i = a_{i_0} + a_{i_1}x + \dots + a_{i\omega_i}x^{\omega_i}$  and  $g_j = b_{j_0} + b_{j_1}x + \dots + b_{j\nu_j}x^{\nu_j}$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq m$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , where  $a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i\omega_i}, b_{j_0}, \dots, b_{j\nu_j} \in R$ . We claim that  $f_i\alpha^i(g_j) \in C(R[x])$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . Take a positive integer  $k$  such that  $k \geq \deg(f_0(x)) + \deg(f_1(x)) + \dots + \deg(f_m(x)) + \deg(g_0(x)) + \deg(g_1(x)) + \dots + \deg(g_n(x))$ , where the degree is as polynomials in  $R[x]$  and the degree of zero polynomial is taken to be 0. Since  $p(y)q(y) = 0$  in  $R[x][y; \alpha] - \{0\}$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} f_0(x)g_0(x) = 0 \\ f_0(x)g_1(x) + f_1(x)\alpha(g_0(x)) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x)\alpha^m(g_n(x)) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

in  $R[x]$ . Now, put

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f_0(x^t) + f_1(x^t)x^{tk+1} + f_2(x^t)x^{2tk+2} + \dots + f_m(x^t)x^{mtk+m}, \\ g(x) &= g_0(x^t) + g_1(x^t)x^{tk+1} + g_2(x^t)x^{2tk+2} + \dots + g_n(x^t)x^{ntk+n}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Note that  $\alpha^t = I_R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)g(x) &= f_0(x^t)g_0(x^t) + (f_0(x^t)g_1(x^t) + f_1(x^t)\alpha(g_0(x^t)))x^{tk+1} \\ &\quad + \dots + f_m(x^t)\alpha^m(g_n(x^t))x^{m+n(tk+1)} \end{aligned}$$

in  $R[x; \alpha]$ . Using (4) and  $\alpha^t = I_R$ , we have  $f(x)g(x) = 0$  in  $R[x; \alpha]$ . On the other hand, from (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)g(x) &= (a_{00} + a_{01}x^t + \dots + a_{0\omega_0}x^{\omega_0 t} + a_{10}x^{tk+1} + a_{11}x^{tk+t+1} + \dots + a_{1\omega_1}x^{tk+\omega_1 t+1} + \dots + \\ &\quad a_{m0}x^{mtk+m} + a_{m1}x^{mtk+t+m} + \dots + a_{m\omega_m}x^{mtk+\omega_m t+m})(b_{00} + b_{01}x^t + \dots + b_{0\nu_0}x^{\nu_0 t} + b_{10}x^{tk+1} + \\ &\quad b_{11}x^{tk+t+1} + \dots + b_{1\nu_1}x^{tk+\nu_1 t+1} + \dots + b_{n0}x^{ntk+n} + b_{n1}x^{ntk+t+n} + \dots + b_{n\omega_n}x^{ntk+\omega_n t+n}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz and  $\alpha^t = I_R$ , then  $a_{iu}\alpha^i(b_{jv}) = a_{iu}\alpha^{ik+ut+i}(b_{jv}) \in C(R)$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n$ , and  $u \in \{0, 1, \dots, \omega_i\}$ ,  $v \in \{0, 1, \dots, \nu_j\}$ . Since  $C(R)$  is closed under addition, we have  $f_i(x^t)\alpha^i(g_j(x^t)) \in C(R[x])$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq m$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . Now, it is easy to see that  $f_i(x)\alpha^i(g_j(x)) \in C(R[x])$ . Hence,  $R[x]$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. ■

Let  $R$  be a ring. For any integer  $n \geq 2$ , consider the ring  $M_n(R)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices and the ring  $T_n(R)$  of  $n \times n$  triangular matrices over a ring  $R$ . The  $n \times n$  identity matrix is denoted by  $I_n$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $\{e_{i,j} | 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  be the set of the matrix units. Let  $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$  be a ring endomorphism with  $\alpha(1) = 1$ . For any  $A = (a_{i,j}) \in M_n(R)$ , we denote  $\bar{\alpha} : M_n(R) \rightarrow M_n(R)$  by  $\bar{\alpha}((a_{i,j})_{n \times n}) = (\alpha(a_{i,j}))_{n \times n}$ . So  $\bar{\alpha}$  is a ring endomorphism of the ring  $M_n(R)$ . The rings  $M_n(R)$  and  $T_n(R)$  contain non-central idempotents. Therefore, they are not abelian. By Proposition 2.5, these rings are not central  $I_R$ -skew Armendariz.

Now, we introduce a notation for some subring of  $T_n(R)$  that will be central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz.

Given a ring  $R$  and  $(R, R)$ -bimodule  $M$ , the trivial extension of  $R$  by  $M$  is the ring  $T(R, M) = R \oplus M$  with the usual addition and the following multiplication:

$$(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2) = (r_1r_2, r_1m_2 + m_1r_2).$$

This is isomorphic to the ring of all matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$  and usual matrix operations are used. For an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of a ring  $R$  and the trivial extension  $T(R, R)$  of  $R$ ,  $\bar{\alpha} : T(R, R) \rightarrow T(R, R)$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}((a, b)) = (\alpha(a), \alpha(b))$  is an endomorphism of  $T(R, R)$ . Since  $T(R, 0)$  and  $R$  are isomorphic, we can describe the restriction of  $\bar{\alpha}$  by  $T(R, 0)$  to  $\alpha$ . If  $R$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid ring (i.e.,  $R[x; \alpha]$  is reduced) by [6, Proposition 15],  $T(R, R)$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz and so it is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz. But  $T(R, R)$  need not to be  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid. It can be asked that if  $T(R, R)$  is a central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz ring, then  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -rigid ring. But this is not the case.

**Example 2.12** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  is the ring of integers modulo 4. Then  $T(R, R)$  is a commutative ring and hence, for  $\alpha = I_R$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz. But  $R[x]$  is not reduced and so  $R$  is not rigid by [6, Proposition 3].

For an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , if  $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$ , then  $\bar{\alpha} : R/I \rightarrow R/I$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}(a + I) = \alpha(a) + I$  is an endomorphism of a factor ring  $R/I$ . The homomorphic image of a central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring need not be central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. But, by [6, Proposition 9], if

for any  $a \in R$ ,  $a\alpha(a) \in I$  implies  $a \in I$ , then the factor ring  $R/I$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz and so is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz.

Recall that a ring  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible if for each  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab = 0$  if and only if  $a\alpha(b) = 0$ . Clearly, this may only happen when the endomorphism  $\alpha$  is injective.

**Theorem 2.13** Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$  with  $\alpha(1) = 1$ ,  $R$  be an  $\alpha$ -compatible ring and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  with  $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$ . If  $I$  is reduced as a ring and  $R/I$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz ring, and for  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in R[x; \alpha] - \{0\}$ , if  $f(x)g(x) = 0$  and  $a_0 \in U(R)$ , then  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz.

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in R$ . Since  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -compatible  $ab = 0$  implies that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a\alpha^n(b) = 0$ . Then  $(\alpha^n(b)Ia)^2 = 0$ . Since  $\alpha^n(b)Ia \subseteq I$  and  $I$  is reduced,  $\alpha^n(b)Ia = 0$ . Also,  $(aI\alpha^n(b))^3 \subseteq (aI\alpha^n(b))(I)(aI\alpha^n(b)) = 0$ . Therefore,  $aI\alpha^n(b) = 0$ . Assume  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ ,  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_mx^m \in R[x; \alpha]$  and  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ . Then

$$a_0b_0 = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$a_0b_1 + a_1\alpha(b_0) = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$a_0b_2 + a_1\alpha(b_1) + a_2\alpha^2(b_0) = 0 \tag{8}$$

We first show that for any  $a_i\alpha^i(b_j)$ ,  $a_iI\alpha^i(b_j) = \alpha^i(b_j)Ia_i = 0$ . Multiply (7) from the right by  $I\alpha^n(b_0)$ , we have  $a_1\alpha(b_0)I\alpha^n(b_0) = 0$ , since  $a_0b_1I\alpha^n(b_0) = 0$ . Then  $(\alpha^n(b_0)Ia_1)^3 \subseteq \alpha^n(b_0)I(a_1\alpha^n(b_0)Ia_1\alpha^n(b_0))Ia_1 = 0$ . Hence,  $\alpha^n(b_0)Ia_1 = 0$ . This implies  $a_1I\alpha^n(b_0) = 0$ . Multiply (7) from the left by  $a_0I$ , we have  $a_0Ia_0b_1 + a_0Ia_1\alpha(b_0) = 0$  and so,  $a_0Ia_0b_1 = 0$ . Thus,  $(b_1Ia_0)^3 = 0$  and  $b_1Ia_0 = 0$ . Now, multiply (8) from right by  $I\alpha^n(b_0)$ . Then  $a_2\alpha^2(b_0)I\alpha^n(b_0) = 0$  and  $(\alpha^2(b_0)Ia_2)^3 = 0$ . So,  $\alpha^2(b_0)Ia_2 = 0$ ,  $a_2I\alpha^2(b_0) = 0$  and  $a_2I\alpha^2(b_0) = 0$ . Now, from (8), we have  $a_0b_2I + a_1\alpha(b_1)I + a_2\alpha^2(b_0)I = 0$ . Since  $a_0b_1 + a_1\alpha(b_0) = 0$  and  $\alpha(a_0) = a_0$ , the square of  $a_0b_2I$  and  $a_2\alpha^2(b_0)I$  are zero,  $a_0b_2I = a_2\alpha^2(b_0)I = 0$ . Hence,  $a_1\alpha(b_1)I = 0$ . Then  $(\alpha(b_1)Ia_1)^2 = 0$  and  $\alpha(b_1)Ia_1 = 0$ . So,  $a_1I\alpha(b_1) = 0$ . Continuing in this way, we have  $a_iI\alpha^i(b_j) = \alpha^i(b_j)Ia_i = 0$ . Since  $R/I$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, it follows that  $a_i\alpha^i(b_j) \in C(R/I)$ . So,  $a_i\alpha^i(b_j)r - ra_i\alpha^i(b_j) \in I$  for any  $r \in R$ . Now, from the above results, we have  $(a_i\alpha^i(b_j)r - ra_i\alpha^i(b_j))I(a_i\alpha^i(b_j)r - ra_i\alpha^i(b_j)) = 0$ . Then  $a_i\alpha^i(b_j)r = ra_i\alpha^i(b_j)$  for all  $r \in R$ . Hence,  $a_i\alpha^i(b_j)$  is central for all  $i$  and  $j$ . This completes the proof. ■

Note that in Theorem 2.13, if  $R$  is an  $\alpha$ -rigid ring instead of  $\alpha$ -compatible, then  $R$  should be central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz by [6, Proposition 8]. The following example, shows that there exists a non-identity endomorphism  $\alpha$  of a ring  $R$  such that  $R/I$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz and as a ring  $I$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz for any nonzero proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , but  $R$  is not central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz.

**Example 2.14** Let  $F$  be a field,  $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$  be a ring and  $\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$  an endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$ . For  $f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}x$  and  $g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}x \in R[x; \alpha]$ , we have  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ , but  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin C(R)$ . Thus,  $R$  is not central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. But by [6, Example 12], for  $I = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $R/I$  and  $I$  are  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz and  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, respectively. Thus,  $R/I$  and  $I$  are central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz and central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, respectively.

Now, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.15** Let  $\alpha$  be a monomorphism of a ring  $R$ , and  $\alpha(1) = 1$  where 1 denotes

the identity of  $R$ . If  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -rigid, then a factor ring  $\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz, where  $\langle x^2 \rangle$  is an ideal of  $R[x]$  generated by  $x^2$ . The converse holds if  $R$  is prime.

**Proof.** Let  $R$  be  $\alpha$ -rigid. Then, by [6, Proposition 8],  $\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz, and so is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz. Conversely, assume that  $\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. Let  $r \in R$  with  $\alpha(r)r = 0$ . Then

$$(\alpha(r) - \bar{x}y)(r + \bar{x}y) = \alpha(r)r + (\alpha(r)\bar{x} - \bar{x}\alpha(r))y - \alpha(1)\bar{x}^2y^2 = \bar{0},$$

Since  $\alpha(r)\bar{x} = \bar{x}\alpha(r)$  in  $\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}[y; \alpha]$ , where  $\bar{x} = x + \langle x^2 \rangle \in \frac{R[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$ . Since  $\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle}$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, then  $\alpha(r)\bar{x} \in C(\frac{R[x]}{\langle x^2 \rangle})$ . Thus,  $\alpha(r)\bar{x}a = \bar{a}\alpha(r)\bar{x}$  for any  $a \in R$ . Then  $\alpha(r)a = a\alpha(r)$ . Hence,  $\alpha(r)Rr = 0$ . Since  $R$  is prime and  $\alpha$  is injective,  $r = 0$ . Therefore,  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -rigid. ■

Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a ring  $R$ . Suppose that there exists the classical right quotient ring  $Q(R)$  of  $R$ . Then for any  $ab^{-1} \in Q(R)$ , where  $a, b \in R$  with  $b$  regular, the induced map  $\bar{\alpha} : Q(R) \rightarrow Q(R)$  defined by  $\bar{\alpha}(ab^{-1}) = \alpha(a)\alpha(b)^{-1}$  is also an automorphism. Note that  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -rigid if and only if  $Q(R)$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -rigid. Hence, if  $R$  is  $\alpha$ -rigid, then  $Q(R)$  is  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz, and so is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz.

Let  $S$  denote a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring  $R$  consisting of central regular elements and  $RS^{-1}$  be the localization of  $R$  at  $S$ .

**Proposition 2.16** Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a ring  $R$ . Then  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, if and only if  $RS^{-1}$  is central  $\bar{\alpha}$ -skew Armendariz.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring. Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i/s_i)x^i$ ,  $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m (b_j/d_j)x^j \in RS^{-1}[x; \alpha]$  and  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ . Also, let  $a_i s_i^{-1} = c^{-1}a'_i$  and  $b_j d_j^{-1} = d^{-1}b'_j$  with  $c, d$  regular elements in  $R$ . Then we have

$$(a'_0 + \cdots + a'_n x^n) d^{-1} (b'_0 + \cdots + b'_m x^m) = 0.$$

We know that for each element  $f(x) \in RS^{-1}[x; \bar{\alpha}]$ , there exists a regular element  $c \in R$  such that  $f(x) = h(x)c^{-1}$  for some  $h(x) \in R[x; \alpha]$ , or equivalently,  $f(x)c \in R[x; \alpha]$ . Therefore, there exist a regular element  $e$  in  $R$  and  $(b''_0 + \cdots + b''_t x^t) \in R[x; \alpha]$  such that  $d^{-1}(b'_0 + \cdots + b'_m x^m) = (b''_0 + \cdots + b''_t x^t)e^{-1}$ . Hence,

$$(a'_0 + \cdots + a'_n x^n)(b''_0 + \cdots + b''_t x^t) = 0.$$

Since  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz,  $a'_i \alpha^i(b''_j) \in C(R)$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Therefore,  $ca_i s_i^{-1} \alpha^i(b_j d_j^{-1} e^{-1}) \in C(R)$ . Since  $c$  and  $e$  are regular element of  $R$ ,  $a_i s_i^{-1} \alpha(b_j d_j^{-1})$  are central in  $R$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Conversely, assume that  $RS^{-1}$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz ring. Since subrings of central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz rings are central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz, then  $R$  is central  $\alpha$ -skew Armendariz. ■

## References

- [1] N. G. Agayev, G. Harmanci, A. Halicioglu, Central Armendariz rings, Bull. Malaysian. Math. Sci. Soc. 34 (1) (2011), 137-145.
- [2] D. D. Anderson, V. Camillo, Armendariz rings and Gaussian rings, Comm. Algebra. 26 (7) (1998), 2265-2272.
- [3] E. P. Armendariz, A note on extensions of Baer and p.p-rings, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 18 (1974), 470-473.
- [4] W. Chen, W. Tong, A note on skew Armendariz rings, Comm. Algebra. 33 (4) (2005), 1137-1140.
- [5] W. Chen, W. Tong, Ring endomorphisms with the reversible condition, Commun. Korean. Math. Soc. 25 (3) (2010), 349-364.
- [6] Ch. Y. Hong, N. k. Kim, T. K. Kwak, On skew Armendariz rings, Comm. Algebra. 31 (1) (2003), 103-122.



- [7] Ch. Y. Hong, T. K. Kwak, S. T. Rizivi, Extention of generalized Armendariz rings, *Algebra. Colloq.* 13 (2) (2006), 253-266.
- [8] C. Huh, Y. Lee, A. Smoktunowicz, Armendariz rings and semicommutative rings, *Comm. Algebra.* 30 (2) (2002), 751-761.
- [9] N. K. Kim, Y. Lee, Armendariz rings and reduced rings, *J. Algebra.* 223 (2) (2000), 477-488.
- [10] M. B. Rege, S. Chhawchharia, Armendariz rings, *Proc. Japan Acad. Math. Sci. (Ser A).* 73 (1997), 14-17.